Regarding the FOIA requests I filed in response to your terse, boilerplate replies below: I have been in communication with Jennifer Hovis, Chief, Information Management Branch, Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation — who is not an attorney—beful to providing the information is usual tank an abswering my questions.

A lengthy, detailed, friendly and collegial conversation on the telephone today with Jennifer and her associate Chip completely validated and satisfied my need for information expressed by "Question #1" below. The short answer to my question was: expect to see the new site roll out around October of this six.

In answer to my question #2 below, which I had not even posed to her directly, Jennifer proactively wrote to me this morning:

"I saw in the attachments to this request that you included a previous email dialogue with Region 6 that asked a question about the HRS score for the Cedar Chemical site. In the event they did not address that question for you, I wanted to let you know that site was listed to the NPL as a result of the 'state pick' mechanism, rather than HRS scoring. If you are not familiar with the 3 mechanisms for listing, I can provide a few links for you. Just let me know."

I took her up on her offer and got:

"Here are two sites that may help. The first describes the 3 mechanisms for listing sites – HRS is by far the majority, but each state also gets one pick for a high priority site (the third relates to health advisories, which has not been used to list any sites in Arkan link below gives the list of all sites listed via the state pick mechanism.

## "How sites are placed on the NPL: http://www.epa.gov/superfund/programs/npl\_hrs/nplon.htm

On Jun 29, 2015, at 10:13 AM, Ford, Mark < Ford.Mark@epa.gov> wrote:

I have copied and pasted the following directly from your below email which I will address Question #1 & #2 accordingly

ANDWR:

Chal S not designed to provide answers to questions; rather FOIA is designed to provide documents to requests. I apologize but I am not sure who at EPA Region or Headquarters would be able to answer your question. The original email recipients are included on this email in hopes that the can assist by forwarding to the appropriate person/division.

Question #2

"2) Regarding the Arkansas section found at the web pages accessed by the corrected link below: why is there no HRS score given for Cedar Chemical Corporation of West Helena one of the nine (9) sites listed for Arkansas?

Answer: FOIA is not designed to provide answers to questions; rather FOIA is designed to provide documents to requests. Question #2 is in the form of a question and is thus not a FOIA. The original emal recipients are included on this email in hopes that they can forward to the appropriate

Accordingly I hope the above has closed this matter out as to Superfund FOIA. If you still believe we need to speak on Wednesday July 1. 2015 at 1:00. please let me know via email and provide me a basis as to why FOIA can still assist you with the above.

Senior Attorney/ORC Superfund/FOIA

From: CC Grisham ognish@me.comp
Sent: Monday June 29 2015 11:25 AM
Tor Ford Mark
CC Charles Cutting Grisham Jr.; R6 FOUX; Patrick Dwayne; Ortiz Diana; Meyer John; Tzhone Stephen; Ragon Derek; Kirst Tina
Subject: Re: Final Disposition Request EPA-R6-2015-007955

I was definitely having trouble with these communications; they kept ending up in my "recovered items" folder. I couldn't tell if they were being sent or not. I apologize for this confusion and bother.

"As I had questioned of Mr. Derek Ragon's responsibility for this portion of the EPA public websites over a year ago when he claimed to me a "data formatting" issue and the use of an "intern" to complete the migration: why is the information at this area of the EPA public websites still so woefully out-of-date? (Please see attached screen shot; I w II forward the email conversation I had with Stephen Tahone about this from Apr I 2014 when Stephen told me "Your question is valid and I have requested clar fication from the Information Management Team." Please note my

"The initial landing page states in red." In 2014, the Superfund Program implemented a new information system, the Superfund Enterprise Management System (SEMS). Efforts to migrate data to SEMS and to enhance data quality control are now in the final stages. The Program will continue to rely on the final CERCLIS data set (dated November 12, 2013, which reflects official end of Fiscal Year 2013 Program progress) for public reporting until a complete and accurate SEMS data set is available."

"The above statement is not an acceptable explanation for the lack of maintenance or availability of the public information at this portion of the EPA public websites. What is the status of that data migration "technical issues" claimed to as excuse for these lacunae? When will this portion of the EPA public websites be updated or taken down completely as the current state is misleading?

"2) Regarding the Arkansas section found at the web pages accessed by the corrected link below: why is there no HRS score given for Cedar Chemical Corporation of West Helena one of the nine (9) sites listed for Arkansas?"